International Journal of Applied
and Natural Sciences (IJANS)
ISSN (P): 2319–4014; ISSN (E): 2319–4022
Vol. 9, Issue 1, Dec-Jan 2020; 67–92

International Academy of Science,
Engineering and Technology
Connecting Researchers; Nurturing Innovations

RIBOSWITCH: AN AREA OF NOVEL BREAKTHROUGH IN RNA WORLD

Harpreet Kaur & Rajan Keshri

Research Scholar, Guru Arjan Dev Institute of Development Studies, Amritsar, India

ABSTRACT

Fundamentally, riboswitches are known to be highly structured and conserved metabolite binding domains, known to be aptamer, which are locus within mRNAs. When we talk about RNA world, riboswitches are ranked among the trending topics. Since its discovery from 2002, there is a large amount of feature disclosed till now and thanks to computational analysis this finding are increasing every year with a fast rate. This work club up the information regarding new findings within the field of riboswitches, which include data about its new classes discovery and its application in the field of gene regulation mechanism, medical science, inter-cellular signalling, biosensors and many more.

KEYWORDS: *Riboswitches, Discovery, Gene Regulation, Medical, Signalling, Biosensors*

Article History

Received: *18 Dec 2019* | ***Revised:*** *27 Dec 2019* | ***Accepted:*** *13 Jan 2020*

INTRODUCTION

Riboswitches are complex folded RNA domains that serve as receptors for specific metabolites. These domains are found in the non-coding portions of various mRNAs, where they control gene expression by harnessing allosteric structural changes that are brought about by metabolite binding. New findings indicate that riboswitches are robust genetic elements that are involved in regulating fundamental metabolic processes in many organisms. (Mandal & Breaker, 2004)

Conceptually riboswitches are whole made of complicated and related folds of RNA domains, within certain mRNAs that serve as precise demodulator or as receptors for ad hoc metabolites. Riboswitches were recognised for chip in to the regulation of numerous fundamental metabolic pathways in certain bacteria which are found in the non-coding portions of various mRNAs, where they control gene expression by adjusting allosteric structural changes that are brought about by metabolite binding (Mandal et al., 2003; Mandal& Breaker, 2004). Riboswitches where detected to be expand in eubacteria, that is, this system of modulation is among the most substantial mechanism by which metabolic genes are controlled. However, many discoveries in the recent past of riboswitch categories have been depicting not only surprisingly complex mechanisms for regulating gene expression but also new high-resolution structural models of these RNAs which also gives an insight into the molecular details of metabolite recognition by natural RNA aptamer (Tucker & Breaker, 2005). RNA was always found to be more affectionate stimuli in managing gene expression rather than it was thought to be (Lin he & Hannon, 2004; Erdmann et al., 2001). It has been considered from long time that differential folding of RNA invests its concise contribution in transcriptional attenuation (Henkin & Yanofsky 2002). In recent past there are novel discoveries of RNA-based regulatory mechanisms admitting pathways involving antisense (Storz et al, 2004) and tRNA–mRNA interactions (Grundy & Henkin, 2003), control of translation by temperature-dependent modulation of RNA structure (Chowdhury et al., 2003; Morita et al., 1999; Morita et al., 1999b; Kamath & Gross., 1991) and the involvement of micro-RNAs as trans-acting genetic factors (Bartel, 2004).

The often-ness in which these breakthroughs have been erupting one after another signifies RNA has a crucial relationship in cellular control processes. And this has been conformably proven to be true for bacteria, in recent findings of gene control by riboswitches are revealing a distributive organization of RNA-mediated gene control (Winkler & Breaker, 2003;Vitreschak, Rodionov, Mironov & Gelfand, 2004; Lai, 2003; Grundy & Henkin, 2004 and Brantl, 2004).

ORIGIN OF RIBOSWITCHES

Its starts with the discovery of the lac repressor (Gilbert & muller., 1966) a huge body of data came forth that described a diversity of protein genetic factors that respond to various metabolites and signalling compounds. From that vast data of scientific literature arise an event which represents the gene control phenomenon. Specifically scheming was a series of reports that brought out the unique genetic-control characteristics of the btu B gene of Escherichia coli (Lawrence & Roth., 1995) and the cob operon of Salmonella typhimurium (Roth et al., 1993). These genes are responsible for maintaining adequate levels of coenzyme B12 in the cell either by importing or synthesizing this complex metabolite

The matter of Riboswitches beginning and evolution has made the study of RNA civilization very challenging. In vitro choice of experimentation disclosed the proportional relief with which RNA could be developed to bind with specific ligands, proposing that it takes a relatively very less time for natural selection to get transform into metabolite-binding domains from RNA sequences. So, we can say that, narrowly distributed riboswitches may have come up late during the course of evolutionary development. These types of cases might have given mount to independent classes of riboswitches which are selective or specific to the similar compound, e.g., SAM (Corbino et al., 2005). The existence of TPP riboswitches in all three kingdoms of life signifies the early inception of this riboswitch category. It is a matter of concern that, at what stage of evolution could riboswitches has awakened? So, as per the RNA world hypothesis (Gilbert, 1986), at certain point, RNA develop to behave as a catalyst of chemical reactions as well as a carrier of genetic information. The catalytic potentiality of the glmS riboswitch-ribozyme and the power of riboswitches to act together with ‘‘ancient’’ coenzymes, such as, SAM, FMN or TPP which would have extended the former repertoire of biochemical reactions, supply obliging causes to propose that riboswitch-like molecules were subservient for the origin and evolution of the primordial RNA world (Breaker, 2006).

GENE-CONTROL MECHANISMS OF A RIBOSWITCH

In handling of coenzyme-B12 riboswitch, two major mode of gene control are obvious. At first stage regulation of RNA transcription which includes the ligand –dependent formation of an intrinsic terminator stem. This Intrinsic terminator are long stem-loop structure which are generally accompanied by a stretch of six or more U residues, which make RNA polymerase to terminate transcription before the coding region of the mRNA is constructed (Gusarov & Nudler, 1999). When the content of coenzyme B12 is not optimum, transcription of an mRNA which is linked with the coenzyme-B12 riboswitch generate a nascent mRNA which is in the aptamer domain remain uncomplicated with ligand. The detached aptamer domain allows establishment of an ‘ANTI-TERMINATOR’ stem, which prevents establishment of the intrinsic terminator stem and hence, allows transcription of the complete mRNA. However, when coenzyme-B12 content are optimum, the nascent mRNA binds to a coenzyme-B12 molecule and the allosteric change in structure allows the intrinsic terminator stem to generate. Transcription abortion results and gene expression is stopped as the coding region of the mRNA is not constructed. As the only prof for this system with the coenzyme-B12 riboswitch comes from sequence analysis (Vitreschak et al., 2003), leading observational proof for this mechanism exists for other riboswitch classes, (Winkler, Nahvi,& Breaker., 2002; Winkle, Cohen-Chalamish, & Breaker, 2002; Mironov et al., 2002; Mandal, Boese,

Barrick, Winkler,& Breaker, 2003;McDaniel, Grundy, Artsimovitch, & Henkin, 2003; Epshtein,, Mironov & Nudler, 2003)The next mode of operandi that is practice by the coenzyme- B12 riboswitch functions at the stage of translation initiation. As like allosteric changes in aptamer structure can control the establishment of intrinsic terminator and anti-terminator stems, coenzyme-B12 binding causes structural changes in total stretch of mRNAs to assure access to the RIBOSOME-BINDING SITE. Specifically, it is observed (Vitreschak, Rodionov, Mironov& Gelfand, 2003) that ribosomes are not fit to construct steady, complexes with the btuB mRNA of E. coli when the coenzyme B12 is introduce, in an in vitro assay. This monitoring matched, with sequence observed (Vitreschak, Rodionov, Mironov& Gelfand, 2003) andbiochemical (Nahvi et al., 2004) proof for coenzyme-relying alternative folding by the btuB 5′-UTR, supports this process for gene control. In uncommon instances, it seems that both transcription and translation can be controlled at the same time. This can happen if the transcription-terminator stem is organized by involving base pairing with the ribosome binding site. This collection of mechanisms would permit freshly start mRNA transcripts to be terminated by the terminator stem, whereas transcripts whose formation had already authorized the point of transcription termination could feat the same structural change to stop translation by jamming the ribosome-binding site. Further observational experiments are needed to be try out whether this collection of mechanisms is surely employed by some riboswitches.

STRATEGY FOR THE BIOINFORMATICS ANALYSIS OF KNOWN RIBOSWITCH CLASSES

Riboswitches are generally utilized by bacteria in order to catch out a number of metabolites and ions to regulate gene expression. Till now, about 40 different classes of riboswitches have been detected (McCown, Corbino, Stav, Sherlock &Breaker, 2017), well-studied and observed and atomic resolution in complex with their connate ligands. The research study caught its direction when the first discovery of riboswitch was witnessed in 2002, which has shown that these noncoding RNA domains exploit many different structural features to construct binding pockets that are highly selective in dealing with their target ligands. Many riboswitch classes are widely found in bacteria from nearly all lineages, whereas others are extremely rare and found only a few species whose DNA has been sequenced. (Phillip et al., 2017).

Once settled, unanimity sequence and structural models used to describe every class of riboswitch (Ames & Breaker, 2010). The unanimity model can also be fetched directly into bioinformatics algorithms in order to find out other RNAs that closely match to the unanimity. These algorithms can be used to construct representatives such a “hits “over the ground on how accurate their sequences and predicted substructures matched to the present consensus model. Outliers which are presumed or proven to work as riboswitches can guide how the consensus model for the aptamer should be modified to more accurately ponder the sequence and structural constraints on the riboswitch class. This bioinformatics concept has been used several time in order to disclose the beingness of structural variants of preQ1- I, preQ1 -II, and preQ1- III riboswitches (Phillip et al., 2017) and to disclose variants of guanine riboswitches that display changed ligand particularities (Mandal &Breaker, 2007). Recent approach, of bioinformatics search criteria, have been used which was directed by the known atomic-resolution structures of riboswitches, to recognised other rare variants whose ligand-binding particularities have been changed or modified (Weinberg et al., 2017).

To analyse the sequence date they (Phillip et al., 2017) have used certain program. Initially, a program name Infernal was used that searches sequence databases for new recruits of an RNA class by the method of comparative sequence analysis (Eric Nawrocki &Eddy, 2013). Then they looked to describe more representatives by using RNAMotif (Macke et al., 2001), that employees covariance model in a format as same as used by the previous program Infernal. Hereafter, Infernal creates an extremely big sequence alignment files that were subjected to further manual and computational analyses. Such as, RALEE was especially effective for aligning big RNA regions with many

representatives, which permit the recognition of conserved nucleotide sequences. R2R was helpful in computing rates of covariation and conservation among nucleotides in each of the respective motif (Weinberg &Breaker, 2011). Moreover, R2R was effective to make an overture graphic delineation of each individual motif, which was later on adapted to train representations of consensus models.

More than 100,000 representatives of 38 formalised riboswitch classes had been reported by the computer-assisted searches. In many cases it has being found, that the functions of recently detected variants can be deduced as their genomic positions propose that they are regulating genes that are routinely linked with the members of the parent riboswitch class. Still, it has been found that there are numerous members of a riboswitch class, experimental establishment of this representatives either one or many, is commonly carried on only if the gene associations are unlike and when the structural variation or sequence is significant. Common cases exist for the breakthrough of rare riboswitch versions with novel ligand specificities that were hard to differentiate from the common members of a large riboswitch class. This trouble was found for riboswitches like 2'-dG-I (Kim et al., 2008) and adenine (Mandal & Breaker, 2004) that are alike in structure and sequence to guanine riboswitches. Likewise, riboswitches like 2'-dG-II (Weinberg et al., 2017) and c-AMP–GMP (Kellen 2'-berger et al,. 2015) classes stayed covered for decades until their parent classes had been detected. Hence, it is crucial to remember that few bioinformatics hits allotted to a specific riboswitch class might actually stand for unestablished versions that have modified ligand specificity.

SOME COMMON RIBOSWITCHES DISCOVERED

TPP

TPP riboswitch is the only riboswitch which is experimentally confirmed to be found in fungi, plant and algae among all recently discovered 40 different classes of riboswitches. Its regulation system is found to be present in 15 oomycetes and 138 fungi. It is all being present in Basidiomycota and Ascomycota in ample amount where they are detected to regulate biosynthesis of TPP or transporter genes. And most of the transporter genes were detected to have conserved domains coherent urea, amino acid and nucleoside transporter gene families. The Genomic position of this Riboswitches when related to the intron structure of the regulated gene, altered prediction of the exact regulation mechanism used by each individual riboswitch.

TPP is a vitamin B1 derived coenzyme, which has its important in all shapes of life (Jurgenson, Begley, & Ealick, 2009). In archaea, algae, bacteria, plants and Fungi its synthesised de-novo (Cheah, Wachter, Sudarsan, & Breaker 2007) (Croft, Moulin, Webb& Smith 2007) (Wachter, 2007) (McRose, D. et al 2014). Its aptamers are extremely conserved throughout different lineages and among all other riboswitches classes it is most far-flung (McCown,, Corbino, Stav, Sherlock,. & Breaker, 2017) (Sudarsan, Barrick, & Breaker, 2003). TPP riboswitch regulates gene expression in bacteria, via control of transcription termination or translation initiation (Miranda-Ríos, Navarro, & Soberón, 2001) (Winkler, Nahvi& Breaker, 2002) (Mironov, A. S. et al., 2002). whereas regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes is carried outvia alternative splicing (Cheah, M. T, Wachter, A., Sudarsan, N. & Breaker, 2007) (Kubodera, T. et al. , 2003) (Li, S. & Breaker, 2013). Splicing of the pre-mRNA started by rich TPP concentration and consequent activation of the riboswitch leads to a short matured mRNA and non-functional protein product hence, forbidding the resultant steps in the TPP biosynthesis pathway from happening. TPP riboswitches were experimentally formalised in some species of fungi where they are required in regulate the expression and splicing of TPP biosynthesis (Cheah, Wachter, Sudarsan& Breaker , 2007) (Kubodera, T. et al., 2003)and transporter (Li, S. & Breaker, 2013) genes. The recognition of new-fangled TPP

riboswitches in fungi and associate species was delayed due to lack of application of computational tools and sampling bias for new riboswitch detection. Still, the current JGI 1000 fungal genome project (Grigoriev, I. V. et al., 2014) has supplied an ample content of fungal genome sequence data for various classes of fungi that can be mined to find extra illustrates of fungal TPP riboswitches. To empathise the patterns of TPP riboswitch-based gene regulation in the fungal dynasty, it is crucial to develop and study a proper picture of TPP riboswitch distribution throughout the presently known fungal species data (Mukherjee et al., 2018).

AdoCbl

AdoCbl riboswitches are ample in all bacteria and generally control biosynthesis of associated enzymes and transporters at the level of transcription termination and translation initiation (Winkler, Nahvi & Breaker, 2002). There are about 19 genes detected to be distributed over four polycistronic messenger RNAs, in the ethanolamine utilization (eut) locus of Enterococcus faecalis, which are appears to be regulated by an individual adenosyl cobalamine (AdoCbl)–responsive riboswitch. AdoCbl-binding riboswitch is a component of a minor, trans-acting RNA, EutX, that furthermore holds a dual-hairpin substrate for the RNA binding–response regulator, EutV. EutX employee this structure to attach EutV. EutV acknowledged to regulate the eut messenger RNAs by binding dual-hairpin structures that convergence terminators and thus stop transcription termination and this happens only in the absence of AdoCbl. In the other hand when AdoCbl is found to be present, EutV cannot bind to EutX and, rather, induces transcriptional read through of multiple eut genes. This function brings out riboswitch-mediated control of protein sequestration as a posttranscriptional mechanism to co-ordinately regulate gene expression. (DebRoy et al., 2014).

SAM

S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) is one of the crucial metabolite present in all living being, which is form by SAM synthetase from the synthesis of ATP and methionine. SAM is also known by the name of universal methyl currency inside the cells. In SAM the attachment of methyl group to the sulfonium ion is quite reactive and by application of methyltransferases it can be easily channelized to substrates. And its maybe because of its necessity purpose in cell metabolism, SAM is recognised as a most common riboswitch effector. Till now three different evolutionarily groups of SAM riboswitches have been detected: the SAM-I superfamily (cited to as kindred in Rfam), comprising of the SAM-I (S-box), SAM-IV, and SAM-I/IV families; the SAM-II superfamily, comprising of SAM-II and SAM-V families; and the SAM-III (or SMK-box) family (Batey., 2011). The work on the SAM riboswitch families forms a effective primer to the study of riboswitches and, to a level, to the whole structured RNAs.

The SAM-I super family

The Bacillus subtilis SAM-I (or S-box) riboswitch was the foremost SAM riboswitch family recognised and is among the well-studied riboswitches. SAM-I was keyed out first in the genes of 5′ UTRs of sulfur metabolism which do not possess any acknowledgeable transcription regulator binding sites (Grundy &Henkin, 1998) (Winkler, Nahvi, Sudarsan, Barrick &Breaker, 2003). The SAM-I riboswitch family is commonly far-flung and its found generally in low-GC content Grampositive bacteria (Gardner et al, 2011). SAM-I has various isoforms, and each individual isoform tuned to react optimally to different ranges SAM concentration, which can be observed inside a single species. Such as, there are at least eleven variants of SAM-I, within B. subtilis, and each individual variant tuned to regulate different genes (Winkler, Nahvi, Sudarsan, Barrick &Breaker, 2003)(Tomsic, McDaniel, Grundy & Henkin, 2008). The riboswitches SAM-IV family was detected within the genes of 5′-UTRs of sulfur metabolism in Actinomycetales (Weinberg et al, 2007). SAM-IV shares

various structural characters with SAM-I and hence, it can be counted in SAM-I superfamily. SAM-IV seems to bind SAM in a similar manner to SAM-I, employing the interactions of same binding-site. A distinguishable family in the SAM-I superfamily, "SAM-I/IV" was detected recently from metagenome sequences (Weinberg, Wang, Bogue, Yang, Corbino, Moy &Breaker, 2010).

SAM-II super family

The "metA" motifs in αProteobacteria was the first SAM-II riboswitches to be detected. (Corbino et al., 2005). The pilot detection, in Agrobacterium tumefaciens, were observed close to intrinsic transcription terminators; Still, the other cases (admitting the crystal structure from a Sargasso Sea metagenome sequence) seems to sequester the Shine–Dalgarno sequence (SD) (Gilbert, Rambo, Van Tyne &Batey, 2008).

These SAM-II riboswitches are a generally short sequence, that promotes the entire crystal structure, and instead of an aptamer domain, it is found in complex with SAM (Gilbert, Rambo, Van Tyne &Batey, 2008). When SAM is bound, SAM-II structure creates an H-type pseudoknot. The pseudoknot stops 2 nt upstream from the SD, but this seems to be enough to block ribosome binding in the "off" state. The structurally associated riboswitches that is SAM-V is far-flung in marine bacteria (Poiata, Meyer, Ames, Breaker, 2009). Like SAM-II, it seems to monitor expression chiefly by SD sequestration. SAM-V anticipate binding site which is alike to that of SAMII. However, as in the case of SAM-I super family, SAM-V distinguish from SAM-II in the peripheral area, beyond the SAM binding site.

SAM-III family

Finally, SAM-III riboswitch or we can say it the SMK-box, is also a translational riboswitch. SAM-III riboswitch was first detected in the 5′-UTR of metK (SAM synthetase) in Lactobacillales (Fuchs, Grundy & Henkin, 2006) SAM-III riboswitch can be commonly reported as three helices, at the crossway of which lay the SAM binding site. SAM-III, riboswitch also closes up ribosome binding to the SD. SAM-III riboswitch SD sequence is instantly private as part of the SAM-bound "off" state, in reality creating direct touches with SAM in the binding site (C. Lu &A.M. Smith, 2008). It can also happen that translational riboswitches like SAM-II and SAM-III circuitously affect RNA constancy, since ribosomes act as a protector by physically closing up the approach to the RNA by nucleases.

SAH

SAH riboswitches are linked genetically with genes for enzymes that demeanSAH to stop its toxic build-up and that recycle constituents for the re-formation of SAM. Generally, SAM content is probably to be larger than SAH concentrations, SAH riboswitches must powerfully separate against SAM. Surely, a representative SAH riboswitch comes up to discriminate against SAM by roughly 1000 fold. This favoritism conceivably could be accomplished by shaping an SAH binding pocket that makes a steric block of the additional methyl group on SAM (Breaker, R. R., 2012).

c-di-GMP

The character of bacteria to accommodate with their environment is highly crucial for their survival. The bis-(3'-5')-cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP) signalling mechanism is a pathway that promotes bacteria to change their characters in reaction to modification in environmental conditions (Hengge, 2009) (SchirmerT & J enal U , 2009) (Jenal U &Malone J, 2008).There are about 500 plus detection of the c-di-GMP riboswitch have been observed inside many bacterial genes of 5′ UTR, which includes the causative agents of cholera and anthrax (Sudarsan, N. et al., 2008). Coherent with the noticed role of c-di-GMP in biological purpose, genes regulated by c-di-GMP riboswitches admit those needed in,

chemotaxis sensing motility, pilus assembly and pathogenesis (Sudarsan, N. et al., 2008). As the c-di-GMP riboswitch class binds c-di-GMP and regulates the expression of a large range of genes in reaction to binding to this second messenger, it is awaited to be a primary downstream target in this signalling pathway and is the initial evidence of an RNA involved in intracellular signalling (Sudarsan, N. et al., 2008). c-di-GMP–binding riboswitches are very crucial downstream targets in the case of signalling pathway (Kathryn D Smith et al., 2009). The c-di-GMP riboswitch is the foremost evidence of a gene-regulatory RNA that binds a second messenger (Kulshina et al., 2009).

The recognition of fresh classes of riboswitches, mRNA segments controlling gene expression, that binds to c-di-GMP specifically, has brought out a totally new grade of regulation that calls for this dinucleotide (Lee, Baker, Weinberg , Sudarsan & Breaker, 2010) (Sudarsan et al., 2008).

Till now, two major classes of c-di-GMP have been detected which are, c-di-GMP I and II riboswitches, respectively. They have been discovered in computational analysis and formalized experimentally. Crystallographic studies of the c-di-GMP-bound aptamers have clarified the system of ligand binding and overall structures (Kulshina, Baird & Ferre-D'Amare, 2009)(Smith KD et al., 2009)(Smith, Shanahan , Moore , Simon & Strobel, 2011) . As both the riboswitches bind c-di-GMP asymmetrically so, it let to demand for somewhat alike molecular interactions, the structural motifs and sequences of the RNA aptamers that adapt, c-di-GMP are quite dissimilar, indicating that they developed independently. These regulatory motifs are most commonly employee and can take place in large numbers suggesting the prime power of aptamer based c-di-GMP signalling (Sondermann et al., 2012).

Glycine

The Glycine riboswitches were foremost discovered in 2004 as a gene activator for the expression of GCV system elements in Vibrio cholera and B. subtilis (Mandal M, Lee M et al., 2004). This riboswitch immediately ties up with glycine that is present in ample amount, by utilizing evolutionarily preserved tandem sensing domains. Many riboswitches act as repressors for transcription elongation,but glycine riboswitches works as gene activators that agitate the expression of the GCV system elements (Mandal M, Lee M et al., 2004). Two Glycine Riboswitches Activate the Glycine Cleavage System Essential for Glycine Detoxification in Streptomyces griseus; Takeaki Tezuka, Yasuo Ohnishi Department of Biotechnology, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Jap.

An exemplum of these glycine-sensing RNAs group from Bacillus subtilis functions as an uncommon genetic on shift for the gcvT operon, which codes for proteins that's allows the formation of the glycine cleavage system. Many glycine riboswitches incorporate two ligand-binding domains that work's cooperatively to more intimately estimate a two-state genetic switch. This progressed form of riboswitch may have developed to check that excessive glycine is expeditiously utilised to give carbon flux through the citric acid cycle and monitor adequate concentration of the amino acid for protein production.

A new analysis has been carried out of highly conserved RNA motifs in various bacterial species that have characters as same as detected riboswitches (Jeffrey et al., 2004). Among those, one of the detected motifs, named gcvT is observed in number of bacteria, where it is generally occupying upstream of genes that show protein elements of the glycine cleavage system., a three-gene operon (gcvPA -gcvT-gcvPB) is described in B. subtilis that codes for elements of this protein complex, which catalyses the foremost reactions for the utilization of glycine as an energy source (G. Kikuchi, 1973).

FMN

FMN (flavin mononucleotide) riboswitches are utilize by many bacteria to monitor the expression of genes responsible for the transport and biosynthesis of this enzyme cofactor or its precursor, riboflavin. Some rare versions of FMN riboswitches detected in the strains of Clostridium difficile (Blount KF, 2013) and some other bacteria generally monitor the expression of proteins transporters and annotated as, involving multidrug efflux pumps. These RNAs no longer know FMN, and varies from the original riboswitch unanimity sequence at nucleotide locations generally involved in tying of the phosphate and ribityl mediatise of the cofactor. Representatives of one among the two version subtypes were observed to tie up with the FMN riboflavin precursor and the degradation products FMN that is, lumichrome and lumiflavin (Ruben et al., 2019).

Riboswitches that tie up with flavin mononucleotide (FMN) constitute the 7th richest riboswitch class that has been experimentally formalised till now (McCown et al. 2017). FAM riboswitch generally monitor the expression of genes needed for the transport and biosynthesis of the coenzyme precursor riboflavin (Gelfand, Mironov, Jomantas , Kozlov & Perumov, 1999). FMN and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) are commonaly utilised as redox cofactors for flavoenzymes involved in numerious expressions of cellular metabolism (Fischer & Bacher, 2005).

There are few families of archaeal and bacteria whose cellular system defend themselves from these dodecins which constitutes as phototoxic components along with riboflavin-binding proteins. This dodecins act to both store of riboflavin and forbid its unwanted breakdown (Grininger, Staudt , Johansson, Wachtveitl & Oesterhelt, 2009). By reasonable assumption there are some bacterial species that also have remediation and detection systems in the case that substantial content of FMN breakdown products gets collected.

Lysine

In bacteria, the intracellular concentration of several amino acids is controlled by riboswitches (Sudarsan, Wickiser, Nakamura, Ebert& Breaker, 2003) (Grundy, Lehman & Henkin, 2003)(Rodionov, Vitreschak, Mironov & Gelfand, 2003) (Mandal, M. et al., 2004). One of the important regulatory circuits involves lysine-specific riboswitches, which direct the biosynthesis and transport of lysine and precursors common for lysine and other amino acids (Sudarsan, Wickiser, Nakamura, Ebert, M. S. & Breaker, 2003) (Grundy, Lehman,& Henkin, 2003) (Rodionov, Vitreschak, Mironov, & Gelfand, 2003).

This riboswitch are bacterial RNA structures that sense the amount of lysine and regulate the expression of lysine transport and biosynthesis genes. This riboswitch class are generally observing in the 5’ untranslated region of messenger RNAs, where they create extremely selective receptors for lysine. Lysine tie up to the receptor stabilizes an mRNA tertiary structure that, in many events, tends to transcription termination prior to the next open reading frame can be expressed. A lysine riboswitch possibly could be directed for antibacterial therapy by creating new compounds that tie up with the riboswitch and inhibit lysine transport and biosynthesis genes. In certain test, it is identified that several lysine analogs that tie up to riboswitches in vitro and stamp down Bacillus subtilis development, likely through a process of riboswitch-mediated repression of lysine biosynthesis. This evidence suggested that riboswitches could act as fresh classes of antibacterial drug targets (Kenneth et al., 2007).

c-di-AMP

The cyclic di-AMP (c-di-AMP) is a bacterial second messenger which is concerned in signalling cell wall stress and DNA damage through interactions with various protein receptors and a far-flung ydaO-type riboswitch. (Ang Gao& Alexander

Serganov, 2014)c-Di-AMP was first recognised on a structural study (Witte, Hartung, Buttner, K. & Hopfner, 2008) and on further study it was observed in human pathogenic bacteria (Kamegaya, Kuroda,& Hayakawa, 2011) (Barker, J.R. et al., 2013) (Corrigan, Abbott, Burhenne, Kaever & Grundling, 2011)and within the cytosol of host cells, after encroachment by Listeria monocytogenes (Woodward, Iavarone,& Portnoy, 2010). c-Di-AMP is produce in reaction to unknown inputs from two molecules of ATP and is felt by particular receptors (Corrigan, R.M. et al., 2013) that are going to affect numerous cellular processes. c-di-AMP signalling demands an RNA motif observed in the 5′ UTR of the ydaO gene as a putative riboswitch and this observed in many bacteria (Barrick, J.E. et al., 2004) This riboswitch was foremost proposed to react to ATP (Watson, P.Y. & Fedor, 2012)and recently to c-di-AMP (Nelson, J.W. et al, 2013). ydaO motif are most acknowledged riboswitches, as in numerous bacterial species they have over 3,000 representatives. The motif is found in the locality of genes responsible for cell wall metabolism, transport and synthesis of sporulation, osmoprotectants, and other crucial biological procedures (Barrick, J.E. et al., 2004)and hence, is predicted to either monitor different processes or at least be responsibl in the monitoring of different reactions linked with these processes. Some of these cellular actions use c-di-AMP signalling (Corrigan, R.M. & Grundling, 2013), indicating a key function of the riboswitch in c-di-AMP–dependent gene expression control.

Fluoride

A riboswitch linked with crcB motif non-coding RNAs from Pseudomonas syringae has been detected that directs fluoride ion with a Kd of about 60 mM and separates against otherhalogen ions (Baker, J. L. et al., 2012) This riboswitch generally found in archaeal and bacterial species and was observed to start the expression of genes that encodeputative fluoride transporters. Given the small size and negative charge of the fluoride ion, it seems remarkable that RNA can form a small enough pocket to target it and discriminate against largerhalide ions.

Thermotoga petrophila fluoride riboswitch, that develop a higher-order RNA design braced by pseudoknot and long-range reversed Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen ANU pair formation. The tie up fluoride ion is wrapped up within the junctional design, grounded in place through direct classification to three Mg21 ions, which in turn are octahedrally coordinated to water molecules and five inwardly pointing backbone phosphates. The structure of the fluoride riboswitch in the tie up state demonstrates how RNA can create a binding pocket exclusive for fluoride, while incisive against larger halide ions. The T. petrophila fluoride riboswitch likely works in gene regulation through a transcription termination mechanism (Aiming Ren, Kanagalaghatta . Rajashankar& Dinshaw J. Patel, 2012).

PreQ1

The preQ1 riboswitch is a prominent part of riboswitches family that selectively detect purine and its relevant derivatives (Meyer, Roth, Chervin, Garcia,& Breaker, 2008) (Weinberg, Z. et al., 2007). It's also employee in the regulation of queuosine (Q) transport and biosynthesis. The preQ1 is the final free precursor of prokaryote organism in the biosynthetic pathway, prior to introductioninto the tRNA wobble location (Meier, Suter, Grosjean, Keith, & Kubli, 1985). However, the regulation mechanism for the preQ1 riboswitch remains unclear. The NMR solution structure of preQ1 II riboswitch was released in 2014 (pdb code: 2MIY)(Kang, M., Eichhorn, C. D. & Feigon, J. Structural determinants for ligand capture by a class II preQ1 riboswitch. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, E663–E671 (2014).), which disclose the major practicality of the planted hairpin for riboswitch in recognition of preQ1 (Wang et al., 2016).

Guanine

Riboswitches recently emerged as possible targets for the development of alternative antimicrobial approaches. Guanine-sensing riboswitches in the bacterial pathogen Clostridioides difficile (formerly known as Clostridium difficile) constitute potential targets based on them involvement in the regulation of basal metabolic control of purine compound. There are four guanine riboswitches have been predicted in Clostridium difficile, to transcriptionally regulate several genes responsible for biosynthesis of guanine monophosphate (GMP) or transport of related precursors (Monot et al., 2011) AS uraA and pbuG are anticipated to encode an uracil and a purine permeate, respectively, xpt and guaA comprise of a xanthine phosphoribosyl transferase and GMP synthase, respectively, and would be responsible for transition of precursor metabolites into GMP. When of guanine fail to be present, the guanine riboswitch starts the shaping of an anti-terminator element heading to downstream transcription. Whereas the presence of guanine accelerates the creation of a terminator element checking premature transcription termination (Lok-HangYanab & AntoineLe Roux, 2018).

ZTP

The bacterial alarm one 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide riboside 5′-triphosphate (AICAR triphosphate also called ZTP), deduced from the monophosphorylated purine precursor ZMP, collects during folate absence. ZTP regulates genes demanded in folate metabolism and purine through a cognate riboswitch. The crystal structure of the Fusobacterium ulcerans riboswitch tie up to ZMP, which pairs the two subdomains whose port also constitutes a pseudoknot and ribose zipper. The riboswitch detects the carboxamide oxygen of ZMP by a new inner-sphere coordination with a Mg2+ ion. The ZTP riboswitch establishes that how particular small-molecule attaching can cause gathering of distant noncoding-RNA domains to regulate gene expression (Christopher P Jones & Adrian R Ferré-D'Amaré, 2015).

A riboswitch in the pfl operon was observed to be the cellular means for detecting collection of Z nucleotides (Kim, Nelson & Breaker, 2015). ZMP and ZTP were presented to tie to a conserved noncoding element of pfl mRNA transcripts from different bacterial species that works as genetic 'on switches', transcription-promoting riboswitches, when attached to ZMP or ZTP.

THF

Folic acid one of the crucial micronutrient which act as a key element in one-carbon metabolism. Tetrahydrofolate (THF) in its reduced form, work as a carrier of one-carbon units in the form of formyl, methylene, or methyl groups that are employee in purine, thymidine, and methionine biosynthesis, respectively (Bermingham & Derrick, 2002) De novo THF biogenesis begins from GTP and continues in seven successive levels (de Cre´ cy-Lagard,Yacoubi.,Garza, Noiriel,, & Hanson, 2007). Alternatively, Gram-positive bacteria scavenge folate from its surrounding by using a unique energy-coupling factor (ECF) transport system made of a folate-binding protein (FolT) and a simple ECF module (Rodionov et al., 2009). The detected riboswitch nominee is predicted to control expression of folT in number of Firmicutes, admitting Lactobacillus species which are auxotrophic for folate. There is an evidence, happening in Ruminococcus obeum, in which the RNA motif is linked with the folate biosynthesis folEQPBK operon (Ames, Rodionov, Weinberg, & Breaker, 2010).

The detection of a riboswitch class for folate derivatives serves us to disclose how cells feel and react by the alteration of concentrations of these compounds. Folate metabolism enzymes presently act as a prime material for antibacterial drug targets, and the localisation of THF riboswitches with coding locations of strange function may promotes researchers to recognise more genes whose protein synthesis take part in folate metabolism. Moreover, THF riboswitches

could directly play as a fresh mechanism of drug targets for the handling of this coenzyme in bacteria (Tyler et al., 2010).

glmS Riboswitch

The glmS gene is mostly found in Gram-positive bacteria as it encodes for protein that transform fructose 6-phosphatephosphate and glutamine into glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN6P; a precursor of peptydoglycan). The leading aminosugar act as important ingredient in bacterial cell wall biosynthesis, simultaneously it is essential for viability of bacteria. The 5'UTR of thisgene act as ribozyme as well as riboswitch, which self-catalyses its own extirpation, which led toeroding of mRNA by RNase J1 (Collins, Irnov , Baker, Winkler, 2007).There are about 18 Gram-positive bacteria in which glmS Riboswitch are predicted to exist, thus it would be wanted to supply compounds that target this riboswitch and display antimicrobial activity.

For describing the important features such as functional groups and structural features which are necessary for recognition by glmS Riboswitch, the library of potentially active substances has been built. On the screening of library, it has been observed that the amine group is very much crucial for enzymatic activity of the riboswitch and phosphate group is important for large affinity ligand binding (Winkler, Nahvi, Roth , Collins , Breaker, 2004). High stage of molecule discrimination laid a dispute for researchers to recognise the functional analog which are capable to particularly block the riboswitch in its attach state.

The concluding discovery has been conducted in 2007 when carba-GlcN6P was suggested as a potential medicine for Staphylococcus auresus contagions treatment and this compound is a subordinate of unfinished patent application (U.S. Patent No. 20140066409 A1, 2014). Detecting a strong drug able to healS. aureus infection is of particular importance, providing the evidence that this bacterium is acase of multiresistant strain. In the event of carba-GlcN6P, it has been observed that both, the potentiality of the reaction rate constant as well as the substrate cleavage was carried out withcompetent ligand incomparison to the native ligand. Moreover, the bacterial growth was minimised by threefold in comparison to the maintained culture and a twofold reduce in glmS gene expression stage was detected (Lünse, Schmidt, Wittmann & Mayer, 2011).

APPLICATION OF RIBOSWITCHES

Riboswitch as Biosensor

Riboswitches are ranked 3rd among various class of biosensors. Riboswitch act as a regulatory domain of an mRNA that can particularly attach to a ligand and accordingly alter its own structure, hence regulating translation or transcription of its converted protein (Serganov & Nudler, 2013). Even though there are numerous queries concerning their formation and functions which needs a proper investigatory explanation, number of attempt have been carried out to harvest a riboswitches as small molecule biosensors (Berens & Suess, 2015). In comparison to TF- based sensor–reporter systems, riboswitches give quicker stimulus, since the RNA has already been transcribed and therefore is promptly accessible for effector binding. Moreover, riboswitches neither depends on protein– metabolite nor protein– protein interactions. This promotes to a greater extent directed engineering of the aptamers (Joyce GF, 2004) and the expression platforms (Nomura & Yokobayashi, 2007) (Muranaka, Abe K & Yokobayashi. 2009). Consequently, ways have been constructed to create a synthetic aptamer libraries, which can be in vitro picked up for a ligand of choice (Mairal et al., 2008). Pablo et al in his two recent studies on E. coli, manifested that expression platforms from present riboswitches can be organized by admitting a common design rules to host synthetic or natural aptamers to produce novel riboswitches (Ceres, Trausch,

Batey, 2013) (Ceres P, Garst AD, Marcano-Vela´ zquez,& Batey, 2013). This 'mix-and-match' approach extremely elaborates the collection of fresh synthetic ribos-witches with ameliorated execution (Trausch & Batey, 2015). Computational analyses become more advanced for de novo pattern of a synthetic riboswitch that regulates transcription termination (Wachsmuth et al., 2013). In the past decennium, riboswitches have been extensively design in bacterial systems, which were comprehensively explained in certain papers (Berens & Suess, 2015) (Groher & Suess, 2014) (Mellin & Cossart, 2015). In comparison to the effort described in bacteria, orchestrating of yeast riboswitches has fell behind. This is mainly because the large numbers of riboswitches are detected in bacteria and malfunctioning in yeast because of various differences in translation and transcription in between eukaryotes and prokaryotes. One easy strategy that can be applied in yeast is to use ribozyme-based switches, which upon ligand binding monitor their self-cleavage function and their translation (Wachsmuth et al, 2013). Michener et al. applied a theophylline- reactive ribozyme to monitor the expression of GFP, which was used to screen a develop caffeine demethylase library in yeast (Michener &Smolke, 2012). Klauser et al. had utilised the same principle to develop a ribozyme-based neomycin switch in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Klauser, Atanasov, Siewert & Hartig, 2014). Hither, they foremost bind a synthetic neomycin aptamer (Weigand et al, 2008) toward catalytic core of the type III Schistosoma mansoni hammerhead ribozyme (HHR), giving synthetic neomycin-de- pendent ribozymes, which were then submitted to an exquisitely planned in vivo pick method (Klauser , Atanasov , Siewert & Hartig, 2014) The excellent leading riboswitch display a 25-fold forbiddance of gene expression upon neomycin binding, constituting the largest dynamic range so far recognised. Contributing a high level of standard in riboswitches, it can also be a common strategy to merge in vitro- picked up synthetic aptamer to the catalytic core of ribozyme like HHR to produce a new yeast synthetic riboswitches.

Therapeutic Uses

Riboswitches are considered as short RNA sequences for ligand-dependent intonation of gene expression in cis., of an adeno- (DNA) virus expression can be knockdown by using an artificial riboswitch, a ligand-dependent self-cleaving ribozyme (aptazyme) and a measles (RNA) virus structural gene, striking biological consequences, i.e. suppressing replication of viral genome and infectivity. Hereafter applications of aptazymes can be tailor-made in other viruses helping analyses of viral gene working or safety switch in oncolytic viruses. Due to its small size and RNA-intrinsic activity, we propose aptazymes as a substitute for accelerate promoters in eukaryotic gene expression control (Ketzera et al, 2012) (Kelly, Hadac, Greiner, Russell 2008)(Kelly, Nace , Barber & Russell, 2010) (Cattaneo, Miest, Shashkova, Barry, 2008) (Chiocca EA, 2008) (Tang J & Breaker RR, 1997) (Wieland M & Hartig JS, 2008) (Vinkenborg, Karnowski & Famulok , 2011) (Chang , Wolf & Smolke 2012) (Sudarsan N, et al., 2003) (Link KH & Breaker RR 2009).

QUANTUM MEDICINE

Exploit Host-Commensal Signalling Pathways

As per new findings at the University of Ireland, "mucosai homeostasis requires continual signaiing from bacteria within the iumen of the gut. It is a question of mimicking the flora and exploiting host-flora signaling pathways." It is now being realize by the researchers that host-flora signalling is a work of riboswitches and pattern recognition receptors that weakened inflammatory reactions and promots organisms to take abidance in the gut. Therefore, a mineral-ligand matrix – critical to riboswitch signalling of organisms – should logically be allowed in probiotic conceptualisations. Since Yale University research prove that organisms prepare synbiotic nutrients with riboswitches,"""^^' discovering new methods to manipulate these signalling pathways in clinical practice may concede master outcome with probiotics(Toniatti, Bujard,

Cortese & Ciliberto, 2004) (Paul Yanick, 2010) (Pornsuwan S. et al, 2006) (Barrick JE, et al, 2007) (Blout KF et al., 2007)(Winkler W. et al., 2003).

Riboswitches as Potential Antimicrobial Drug Targets

Riboswitches endowment to sense the difference among cognate molecules, moreover their general presence in bacteria makes them an assuring objective for antibacterial drug therapy. With respect to science of medicine this topic holds an extensive importance, because of ceaseless issue of bacterial resistance against regular antibiotics. Its novel property of antimicrobial drug targets has overpowered the classical antibiotic properties. At first in comparison to antibiotic they are found to be less toxic in higher eukaryote which includes human too, as riboswitches do not found here. Moreover, it is easy to deliver, monitor and control them by the application of simple metabolites and simple in manufacturing and modification too. The chances of becoming resistance by bacteria against the antibiotics targeted at riboswitches looks to be more limited than the of commercial drugs cases. The existence of an individual category of riboswitches in different bacterial genes makes a single mutation deficient to counterbalance antimicrobial effect. In order to believe riboswitches in conditions of possible pharmaceutical therapy, at first, the analogs of ligands have to be discovered. Furthermore, the organization of such compounds should permanently induce riboswitches although the native ligands are not present (Piotr Machtel& Kamilla Bąkowska-Żywicka1 & Marek Żywicki, 2016). Examples are; Purine, Lysine, c-di-GMP, glmS, TPP and FMN riboswitches class.

Riboswitch-Based Control of Bacterial Behaviour

Advancement in the knowledge of bioengineering with respect to microbiology has not only given us control over bacterial gene expression but also the power to manipulate cell behaviour, generally with respect to cell motility. Bacterial has an inborn talent develop them self with response to the chemical signals from their surroundings. Chemotaxis is the foundation of the bacteria chemical responsiveness, which is the character that allows them to retreat or to follow a given molecule as per its gradient in the ecosystem. Generally, a selected chemical compound is detected and attached by the surface receptor which is the cause of activation of the cascade of cytosolic proteins that is responsible to control flagellar motor complex (Baker MD, Wolanin PM & Stock JB 2006). It Promots bacteria to ignore destructive substances and invade habitats rich in nutrients. Commonly, the chances to regulate bacterial mobility would be good in a number of different ways, like environmental defence system to promotes bioremediation, targeted therapy or in executing complex work which call for group action more bacterial strain. In 2007 Topp and Gullivan proved that the E. coli chemotaxis system could be reprogrammed by placing a key chemotaxis signaling protein cheZ below the control of a theophylline-sensitive riboswitch (Topp S & Gallivan JP 2007). Reprogrammed cells moved up gradients of this ligand and autonomously localized to place of high theophylline content, which is a behaviour that cannot be achieved by the natural E. coli chemotaxis system.

Riboswitch-Based Gene Expression Control Systems

From years, many scientists are specially focusing on controlling the conditionsfor gene expression. Although,variousmethods of gene expression regulation present yet the riboswitch-based system is still capable ofinvestingfresh quality to this area. First of all, the character of being sparked by common and small compound have made riboswitches economic feasible for development of such mechanism, even in industrial scale. Moreover, many ligands possess such small size which make them penetrable into cell membrane or bacterial cell wall, this suggest that development to the medium is enough to invoke regulatory effects. It seems to be a merit in comparison, for example, to

antisense strategy where within the cell the antisense oligonucleotides have to be administered in suitable vectors like liposomes. Numbers of choice in riboswitches have made them a merit tool to monitor a wide repertoire of numerous type of genes. They employee a differentreach of regulatory mechanisms, from transcription termination to splicing control. Furthermore, attaching of ligand may accompanied by both down- or up-regulation, reckoning on the circumstancein which aptamer domain is placed, which increases flexibility and potential scope of application(Piotr Machtel & Kamilla Bąkowska-Żywicka & Marek Żywicki, 2016).

Riboswitch-Based Control of Mycobacteria Gene Expression

The Cause to arise gene expression systems are broadly put-upon to study the gene basic importance (Judson & Mekalanos, 2000), purpose and potential drug targets (Miesel, Greene & Black, 2003). There are various methods for gene expression control, still, many of them are determined under Gram-negative bacteria and their related species. This related bacterial species, as per medical important are mycobacteria, including Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) and related species working as an example of tuberculosis, like fish pathogen M. marinum, non-pathogenic M. smegmatis, and bovine strain M. bovis utelised for BCG vaccines. All those species are important for as per health care all this species are of crucial importance and difficult to manage for molecular genetics due to risk of infection and slow growth rate (van Kessel, Marinelli & Hatfull, 2008).

An artificial theophylline-responding riboswitch was design in 2012 to monitor gene expression in a broad range of Gram- positive and Gram- negative bacteria, includingM. smegmatis, an example for analysis on tuberculosis (Seeliger et al, 2012). The theophylline riboswitch-based mechanism comprises of a synthetic aptamer functional part feeling theophylline and a mycobacterial promoter of full length of about 300 nt. This mechanism was employee to initiate and keep down heterologous protein overexpression reversibly, to make a tentative gene knockdown, and to monitor gene expression in a macrophage infection model. When theophylline is not present, the riboswitch takes over closed conformation causing RBS and start codon outback for translation system. Attaching of theophylline causes structural change in riboswitch and translation can start.

Riboswitch-Based Control of Virus Gene Expression and Replication

The outbreak of naturallypresent viruses that have an inherent taste to lyse cancer cells leads foundation of oncolytic viruses. Oncolytic viruses are viruses that have an inborn taste to infect and kill cancer cells (Kelly & Russell, 2007). The recognition started number of efforts in order to artificially develop oncolytic character by engineering known viruses (Wong, Lemoine & Wang, 2010). Therefore, the targets on riboswitch-based expression control systems liableforinitiation of viral genes in a reversible way. In recently past, two viruses which are genetically altered have been design: adenovirus (AdVs) and measles virus (ssRNAvirus) with riboswitch-controlled gene expression (Ketzer et al., 2014).

Riboswitch-Based Gene Expression in Cell-Like Systems

The ramification of eukaryotic expression mechanism frequently tries to makes certain processes difficult to understand it fully. Hence, a concerning substitute could be artificial cell-like systems that mimic important characters of life with describe factors but made simple and much easier to control and regulate. In 2011 two researchers demonstrated an evidence of outwardly induced RNA-controlled function in two contrived celllike systems: vesicle and water-in-oil emulsions (Martini & Mansy, 2011). They have employee antecedently selected the ophyllineriboswitch and made a functioning mechanism by the application of yellow fluorescent protein DNA as a genome with T7 promoter and E. coli

RBS. In conclusion, upon riboswitch activation by the ligand, the RBS was displayed for translation setup. Theyieldof a reporter protein was dynamic only when the theophyl line is present. Such a fine explanation of controlled gene expression in water droplets and vesicles adds experimental substantiation to the current origin of life research expecting at classification of functional units.

Riboswitches as Riboselectors

Riboswitches could be regarded as sensor-actuator crosses that can monitor gene expression in reaction to intracellular metabolite concentration. These features confirm the demand for exploitation of riboswitch-based selection devices in order to hasten the development of metabolite-producing microorganisms. This device regarded as Briboselector and its purpose was to particularly detect inconspicuous metabolites (Yang et al, 2013). A riboselector is made up of two different modules: first a riboswitch and secondly a selection module. Riboselectors can therefore, expeditiously use best the metabolic pathways by associatingthe intracellular content of a specific metabolite to endurance of the cell under choice pressure. The lysineriboselector utilised by Yang et al. comprised of a lysine riboswitch of E. coli lysC gene and the selection markertetA. lysine synthase was encoded by lysC gene, after which lysineattachment decreases downstream gene expression (Jang, Yang , Seo & Jung 2015). The tetracycline/H+ antiporter is encoded by tetA. Dual-selection way of TetA modified cellsthat collect lysine in the cytoplasm in order to hold up in the vicinity of toxic metal salts, such as NiCl2. The utilizationof positive selection pressure by the addition of NiCl2 into it, fertilisedthe culture of bacteria in more prominent lysine manufacturer. The bacterialclones making the ample amounts of lysine will have the abilityto put down the tetA gene expression expeditiously, thus could endureand replicate. And This mechanism give rise to 75 % increase of a lysineyield level which was accomplished after four cycles of the selection process. As a result, riboselectors can give a development merit to metabolite-overproducing strains by regulating the expression of a selectable marker gene.

CONCLUSION

After Going through the documentation on riboswitches, it is assured that this breakthrough in RNA world has altered many theories regarding metabolic interaction and has reduced the over dependency on study of protein-protein interaction. Moreover, this discovery has open many paths in the field of metabolic engineering and as we can see every year it’s come with new breakthrough which is knocking on the doors of great opportunity. The utilization of riboswitch in the field of medical and biotechnology will be going to provide ease over the stress of development. As we have seen that riboswitches are capturing the field applications and after observing sudden data enhancement in very short period of time, thus I can resolve that this is just the onset of this entity, the study of riboswitches is far away from getting a definite conclusion.

REFERENCES

1. *Ames, T. D., & Breaker, R. R. (2010). Bacterial riboswitch discovery and analysis. The chemical biology of nucleic acids, 433-454.*
2. *Ames, T. D., Rodionov, D. A., Weinberg, Z., & Breaker, R. R. (2010). A eubacterial riboswitch class that senses the coenzyme tetrahydrofolate. Chemistry & biology, 17(7), 681-685*
3. *Atilho, R. M., Perkins, K. R., & Breaker, R. R. (2019). Rare variants of the FMN riboswitch class in Clostridium difficile and other bacteria exhibit altered ligand specificity. RNA, 25(1), 23-34.*
4. *Bartel, D. P. (2004). MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. cell, 116(2), 281-297.*

5. *Brantl, S. (2004). Bacterial gene regulation: from transcription attenuation to riboswitches and ribozymes. Trends in microbiology, 12(11), 473-475.*

6. *Breaker, R. R., Gesteland, R. F., Cech, T. R., & Atkins, J. F. (2006). The RNA world.*

7. *Blount, K. (2013). U.S. Patent Application No. 13/576,989.*

8. *Barker, J. R., Koestler, B. J., Carpenter, V. K., Burdette, D. L., Waters, C. M., Vance, R. E., & Valdivia, R. H. (2013). STING-dependent recognition of cyclic di-AMP mediates type I interferon responses during Chlamydia trachomatis infection. MBio, 4(3), e00018-13.*

9. *Barrick, J. E., Corbino, K. A., Winkler, W. C., Nahvi, A., Mandal, M., Collins, J., ... & Wickiser, J. K. (2004). New RNA motifs suggest an expanded scope for riboswitches in bacterial genetic control. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(17), 6421-6426.*

10. *Baker, J. L., Sudarsan, N., Weinberg, Z., Roth, A., Stockbridge, R. B., & Breaker, R. R. (2012). Widespread genetic switches and toxicity resistance proteins for fluoride. Science, 335(6065), 233-235.*

11. *Bermingham, A., & Derrick, J. P. (2002). The folic acid biosynthesis pathway in bacteria: evaluation of potential for antibacterial drug discovery. Bioessays, 24(7), 637-648.*

12. *Berens, C., & Suess, B. (2015). Riboswitch engineering—making the all-important second and third steps. Current opinion in biotechnology, 31, 10-15.*

13. *Barrick, J. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2007). The power of riboswitches. Scientific American, 296(1), 50-57.*

14. *Blount, K. F., & Breaker, R. R. (2006). Riboswitches as antibacterial drug targets. Nature biotechnology, 24(12), 1558.*

15. *Baker, M. D., Wolanin, P. M., & Stock, J. B. (2006). Signal transduction in bacterial chemotaxis. Bioessays, 28(1), 9-22.*

16. *Blount, K. F., Wang, J. X., Lim, J., Sudarsan, N., & Breaker, R. R. (2007). Antibacterial lysine analogs that target lysine riboswitches. Nature chemical biology, 3(1), 44.*

17. *Batey, R. T. (2011). Recognition of S□adenosylmethionine by riboswitches. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: RNA, 2(2), 299-311.*

18. *Chowdhury, S., Ragaz, C., Kreuger, E., & Narberhaus, F. (2003). Temperature-controlled structural alterations of an RNA thermometer. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 278(48), 47915-47921.*

19. *Corbino, K. A., Barrick, J. E., Lim, J., Welz, R., Tucker, B. J., Puskarz, I., ... & Breaker, R. R. (2005). Evidence for a second class of S-adenosylmethionine riboswitches and other regulatory RNA motifs in alpha-proteobacteria. Genome biology, 6(8), R70.*

20. *Cheah, M. T., Wachter, A., Sudarsan, N., & Breaker, R. R. (2007). Control of alternative RNA splicing and gene expression by eukaryotic riboswitches. Nature, 447(7143), 497.*

21. *Croft, M. T., Moulin, M., Webb, M. E., & Smith, A. G. (2007). Thiamine biosynthesis in algae is regulated by riboswitches. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(52), 20770-20775.*

22. *Corrigan, R. M., Abbott, J. C., Burhenne, H., Kaever, V., & Gründling, A. (2011). c-di-AMP is a new second messenger in Staphylococcus aureus with a role in controlling cell size and envelope stress. PLoS pathogens, 7(9), e1002217.*

23. *Corrigan, R. M., Campeotto, I., Jeganathan, T., Roelofs, K. G., Lee, V. T., & Gründling, A. (2013). Systematic identification of conserved bacterial c-di-AMP receptor proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(22), 9084-9089.*

24. *Corrigan, R. M., & Gründling, A. (2013). Cyclic di-AMP: another second messenger enters the fray. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 11(8), 513-524.*

25. *Collins, J. A., Irnov, I., Baker, S., & Winkler, W. C. (2007). Mechanism of mRNA destabilization by the glmS ribozyme. Genes & development, 21(24), 3356-3368.*

26. *Ceres, P., Trausch, J. J., & Batey, R. T. (2013). Engineering modular 'ON'RNA switches using biological components. Nucleic acids research, 41(22), 10449-10461.*

27. *Ceres, P., Garst, A. D., Marcano-Velázquez, J. G., & Batey, R. T. (2013). Modularity of select riboswitch expression platforms enables facile engineering of novel genetic regulatory devices. ACS synthetic biology, 2(8), 463-472.*

28. *Cattaneo, R., Miest, T., Shashkova, E. V., & Barry, M. A. (2008). Reprogrammed viruses as cancer therapeutics: targeted, armed and shielded. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6(7), 529.*

29. *Chiocca, E. A. (2008). The host response to cancer virotherapy. Current opinion in molecular therapeutics, 10(1), 38-45.*

30. *Chang, A. L., Wolf, J. J., & Smolke, C. D. (2012). Synthetic RNA switches as a tool for temporal and spatial control over gene expression. Current opinion in biotechnology, 23(5), 679-688.*

31. *Corbino, K. A., Barrick, J. E., Lim, J., Welz, R., Tucker, B. J., Puskarz, I., ... & Breaker, R. R. (2005). Evidence for a second class of S-adenosylmethionine riboswitches and other regulatory RNA motifs in alpha-proteobacteria. Genome biology, 6(8), R70.*

32. *de Crécy-Lagard, V., El Yacoubi, B., de la Garza, R. D., Noiriel, A., & Hanson, A. D. (2007). Comparative genomics of bacterial and plant folate synthesis and salvage: predictions and validations. BMC genomics, 8(1), 245.*

33. *Douce, R., Bourguignon, J., Neuburger, M., & Rébeillé, F. (2001). The glycine decarboxylase system: a fascinating complex. Trends in plant science, 6(4), 167-176.*

34. *DebRoy, S., Gebbie, M., Ramesh, A., Goodson, J. R., Cruz, M. R., van Hoof, A., ... & Garsin, D. A. (2014). A riboswitch-containing sRNA controls gene expression by sequestration of a response regulator. Science, 345(6199), 937-940.*

35. *Erdmann, V. A., Barciszewska, M. Z., Szymanski, M., Hochberg, A., Groot, N. D., & Barciszewski, J. (2001). The non-coding RNAs as riboregulators. Nucleic Acids Research, 29(1), 189-193.*

36. *Erdmann, V. A., Barciszewska, M. Z., Szymanski, M., Hochberg, A., Groot, N. D., & Barciszewski, J. (2001). The*

non-coding RNAs as riboregulators. Nucleic Acids Research, 29(1), 189-193.

37. *Fischer, M., & Bacher, A. (2005). Biosynthesis of flavocoenzymes. Natural product reports, 22(3), 324-350.*
38. *Fuchs, R. T., Grundy, F. J., & Henkin, T. M. (2006). The S MK box is a new SAM-binding RNA for translational regulation of SAM synthetase. Nature structural & molecular biology, 13(3), 226.*
39. *Gao, A., & Serganov, A. (2014). Structural insights into recognition of c-di-AMP by the ydaO riboswitch. Nature chemical biology, 10(9), 787.*
40. *Grundy, F. J., & Henkin, T. M. (1998). The S box regulon: a new global transcription termination control system for methionine and cysteine biosynthesis genes in Gram□positive bacteria. Molecular microbiology, 30(4), 737-749.*
41. *Grundy, F. J., & Henkin, T. M. (2003). The T box and S box transcription termination control systems. Front. Biosci, 8, d20-d31.*
42. *Grundy, F. J., & Henkin, T. M. (2004). Regulation of gene expression by effectors that bind to RNA. Current opinion in microbiology, 7(2), 126-131.*
43. *Gilbert, W. (1891). MÜLLER-HILL (1966). Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 56.*
44. *Gilbert, W. (1986). Origin of life: The RNA world. nature, 319(6055), 618.*
45. *Gusarov, I., & Nudler, E. (1999). The mechanism of intrinsic transcription termination. Molecular cell, 3(4), 495-504.*
46. *Grigoriev, I. V., Nikitin, R., Haridas, S., Kuo, A., Ohm, R., Otillar, R., ... & Smirnova, T. (2013). MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. Nucleic acids research, 42(D1), D699-D704.*
47. *Gelfand, M. S., Mironov, A. A., Jomantas, J., Kozlov, Y. I., & Perumov, D. A. (1999). A conserved RNA structure element involved in the regulation of bacterial riboflavin synthesis genes. Trends in Genetics, 15(11), 439-442.*
48. *Grininger, M., Staudt, H., Johansson, P., Wachtveitl, J., & Oesterhelt, D. (2009). Dodecin is the key player in flavin homeostasis of archaea. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 284(19), 13068-13076.*
49. *Grundy, F. J., Lehman, S. C., & Henkin, T. M. (2003). The L box regulon: lysine sensing by leader RNAs of bacterial lysine biosynthesis genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(21), 12057-12062.*
50. *Groher, F., & Suess, B. (2014). Synthetic riboswitches—a tool comes of age. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms, 1839(10), 964-973.*
51. *Gardner, P. P., Daub, J., Tate, J., Moore, B. L., Osuch, I. H., Griffiths-Jones, S., ... & Bateman, A. (2010). Rfam: Wikipedia, clans and the "decimal" release. Nucleic acids research, 39(suppl_1), D141-D145.*
52. *Gilbert, S. D., Rambo, R. P., Van Tyne, D., & Batey, R. T. (2008). Structure of the SAM-II riboswitch bound to S-adenosylmethionine. Nature structural & molecular biology, 15(2), 177.*
53. *Henkin, T. M., & Yanofsky, C. (2002). Regulation by transcription attenuation in bacteria: how RNA provides instructions for transcription termination/antitermination decisions. Bioessays, 24(8), 700-707.*

54. *Hengge, R. (2009). Principles of c-di-GMP signalling in bacteria. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 7(4), 263.*
55. *He, L., & Hannon, G. J. (2004). MicroRNAs: small RNAs with a big role in gene regulation. Nature Reviews Genetics, 5(7), 522.*
56. *Jones, C. P., & Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2015). Recognition of the bacterial alarmone ZMP through long-distance association of two RNA subdomains. Nature structural & molecular biology, 22(9), 679.*
57. *Jurgenson, C. T., Begley, T. P., & Ealick, S. E. (2009). The structural and biochemical foundations of thiamin biosynthesis. Annual review of biochemistry, 78, 569-603.*
58. *Tomšič, J., McDaniel, B. A., Grundy, F. J., & Henkin, T. M. (2008). Natural variability in S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependent riboswitches: S-box elements in Bacillus subtilis exhibit differential sensitivity to SAM in vivo and in vitro. Journal of bacteriology, 190(3), 823-833.*
59. *Jenal, U., & Malone, J. (2006). Mechanisms of cyclic-di-GMP signaling in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet., 40, 385-407.*
60. *Barrick, J. E., Corbino, K. A., Winkler, W. C., Nahvi, A., Mandal, M., Collins, J., ... & Wickiser, J. K. (2004). New RNA motifs suggest an expanded scope for riboswitches in bacterial genetic control. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(17), 6421-6426.*
61. *Judson, N., & Mekalanos, J. J. (2000). Transposon-based approaches to identify essential bacterial genes. Trends in microbiology, 8(11), 521-526.*
62. *Jang, S., Yang, J., Seo, S. W., & Jung, G. Y. (2015). Riboselector: riboswitch-based synthetic selection device to expedite evolution of metabolite-producing microorganisms. In Methods in enzymology (Vol. 550, pp. 341-362). Academic Press.*
63. *Joyce, G. F. (2004). Directed evolution of nucleic acid enzymes. Annual review of biochemistry, 73(1), 791-836.*
64. *Kikuchi, G. (1973). The glycine cleavage system: composition, reaction mechanism, and physiological significance. Molecular and cellular biochemistry, 1(2), 169-187.*
65. *Kamath-Loeb, A. S., & Gross, C. A. (1991). Translational regulation of sigma 32 synthesis: requirement for an internal control element. Journal of bacteriology, 173(12), 3904-3906.*
66. *Kim, J. N., & Breaker, R. R. (2008). Purine sensing by riboswitches. Biology of the Cell, 100(1), 1-11.*
67. *Kellenberger, C. A., Wilson, S. C., Hickey, S. F., Gonzalez, T. L., Su, Y., Hallberg, Z. F., ... & Hammond, M. C. (2015). GEMM-I riboswitches from Geobacter sense the bacterial second messenger cyclic AMP-GMP. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(17), 5383-5388.*
68. *Kubodera, T., Watanabe, M., Yoshiuchi, K., Yamashita, N., Nishimura, A., Nakai, S., ... & Hanamoto, H. (2003). Thiamine□regulated gene expression of Aspergillus oryzae thiA requires splicing of the intron containing a riboswitch□like domain in the 5′□UTR. FEBS letters, 555(3), 516-520.*
69. *Kulshina, N., Baird, N. J., & Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2009). Recognition of the bacterial second messenger cyclic diguanylate by its cognate riboswitch. Nature structural & molecular biology, 16(12), 1212.*

70. *Kamegaya, T. A. I. C. H. I., Kuroda, K. E. N. J. I., & Hayakawa, Y. O. S. H. I. H. I. R. O. (2011). Identification of a Streptococcus pyogenes SF370 gene involved in production of c-di-AMP. Nagoya J Med Sci, 73(1-2), 49-57.*

71. *Kim, P. B., Nelson, J. W., & Breaker, R. R. (2015). An ancient riboswitch class in bacteria regulates purine biosynthesis and one-carbon metabolism. Molecular cell, 57(2), 317-328.*

72. *Klauser, B., Atanasov, J., Siewert, L. K., & Hartig, J. S. (2014). Ribozyme-based aminoglycoside switches of gene expression engineered by genetic selection in S. cerevisiae. ACS synthetic biology, 4(5), 516-525.*

73. *Kelly, E. J., Hadac, E. M., Greiner, S., & Russell, S. J. (2008). Engineering microRNA responsiveness to decrease virus pathogenicity. Nature medicine, 14(11), 1278.*

74. *Kelly, E. J., Nace, R., Barber, G. N., & Russell, S. J. (2010). Attenuation of vesicular stomatitis virus encephalitis through microRNA targeting. Journal of virology, 84(3), 1550-1562.*

75. *Kelly, E., & Russell, S. J. (2007). History of oncolytic viruses: genesis to genetic engineering. Molecular therapy, 15(4), 651-659.*

76. *Ketzer, P., Kaufmann, J. K., Engelhardt, S., Bossow, S., von Kalle, C., Hartig, J. S., ... & Nettelbeck, D. M. (2014). Artificial riboswitches for gene expression and replication control of DNA and RNA viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(5), E554-E562.*

77. *Ketzer, P., Kaufmann, J. K., Engelhardt, S., Bossow, S., von Kalle, C., Hartig, J. S., ... & Nettelbeck, D. M. (2014). Artificial riboswitches for gene expression and replication control of DNA and RNA viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(5), E554-E562.*

78. *Lu, C., Smith, A. M., Fuchs, R. T., Ding, F., Rajashankar, K., Henkin, T. M., & Ke, A. (2008). Crystal structures of the SAM-III/S MK riboswitch reveal the SAM-dependent translation inhibition mechanism. Nature structural & molecular biology, 15(10), 1076.*

79. *Lai, E. C. (2003). RNA sensors and riboswitches: self-regulating messages. Current Biology, 13(7), R285-R291.*

80. *Lawrence, J. G., & Roth, J. R. (1995). The cobalamin (coenzyme B12) biosynthetic genes of Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology, 177(22), 6371-6380.*

81. *Li, S., & Breaker, R. R. (2013). Eukaryotic TPP riboswitch regulation of alternative splicing involving long-distance base pairing. Nucleic acids research, 41(5), 3022-3031.*

82. *Lee, E. R., Baker, J. L., Weinberg, Z., Sudarsan, N., & Breaker, R. R. (2010). An allosteric self-splicing ribozyme triggered by a bacterial second messenger. science, 329(5993), 845-848.*

83. *Lünse, C. E., Schmidt, M. S., Wittmann, V., & Mayer, G. (2011). Carba-sugars activate the glmS-riboswitch of Staphylococcus aureus. ACS chemical biology, 6(7), 675-678.*

84. *Link, K. H., & Breaker, R. R. (2009). Engineering ligand-responsive gene-control elements: lessons learned from natural riboswitches. Gene therapy, 16(10), 1189.*

85. *Mandal, M., & Breaker, R. R. (2004). Gene regulation by riboswitches. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology, 5(6), 451.*

86. *Mandal, M., Boese, B., Barrick, J. E., Winkler, W. C., & Breaker, R. R. (2003). Riboswitches control fundamental biochemical pathways in Bacillus subtilis and other bacteria. Cell, 113(5), 577-586.*

87. *Morita, M., Kanemori, M., Yanagi, H., & Yura, T. (1999). Heat-Induced Synthesis of ς32 inEscherichia coli: Structural and Functional Dissection ofrpoH mRNA Secondary Structure. Journal of bacteriology, 181(2), 401-410.*

88. *Morita, M. T., Tanaka, Y., Kodama, T. S., Kyogoku, Y., Yanagi, H., & Yura, T. (1999). Translational induction of heat shock transcription factor ς32: evidence for a built-in RNA thermosensor. Genes & development, 13(6), 655-665.*

89. *Mironov, A. S., Gusarov, I., Rafikov, R., Lopez, L. E., Shatalin, K., Kreneva, R. A., ... & Nudler, E. (2002). Sensing small molecules by nascent RNA: a mechanism to control transcription in bacteria. Cell, 111(5), 747-756.*

90. *Mandal, M., Boese, B., Barrick, J. E., Winkler, W. C., & Breaker, R. R. (2003). Riboswitches control fundamental biochemical pathways in Bacillus subtilis and other bacteria. Cell, 113(5), 577-586.*

91. *McDaniel, B. A. M., Grundy, F. J., Artsimovitch, I., & Henkin, T. M. (2003). Transcription termination control of the S box system: direct measurement of S-adenosylmethionine by the leader RNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(6), 3083-3088.*

92. *McCown, P. J., Corbino, K. A., Stav, S., Sherlock, M. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2017). Riboswitch diversity and distribution. Rna, 23(7), 995-1011.*

93. *Macke, T. J., Ecker, D. J., Gutell, R. R., Gautheret, D., Case, D. A., & Sampath, R. (2001). RNAMotif, an RNA secondary structure definition and search algorithm. Nucleic acids research, 29(22), 4724-4735.*

94. *McRose, D., Guo, J., Monier, A., Sudek, S., Wilken, S., Yan, S., ... & Worden, A. Z. (2014). Alternatives to vitamin B 1 uptake revealed with discovery of riboswitches in multiple marine eukaryotic lineages. The ISME journal, 8(12), 2517.*

95. *Miranda-Ríos, J., Navarro, M., & Soberón, M. (2001). A conserved RNA structure (thi box) is involved in regulation of thiamin biosynthetic gene expression in bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 98(17), 9736-9741.*

96. *Mironov, A. S., Gusarov, I., Rafikov, R., Lopez, L. E., Shatalin, K., Kreneva, R. A., ... & Nudler, E. (2002). Sensing small molecules by nascent RNA: a mechanism to control transcription in bacteria. Cell, 111(5), 747-756.*

97. *Mandal, M., Lee, M., Barrick, J. E., Weinberg, Z., Emilsson, G. M., Ruzzo, W. L., & Breaker, R. R. (2004). A glycine-dependent riboswitch that uses cooperative binding to control gene expression. Science, 306(5694), 275-279.*

98. *Mandal, M., Lee, M., Barrick, J. E., Weinberg, Z., Emilsson, G. M., Ruzzo, W. L., & Breaker, R. R. (2004). A glycine-dependent riboswitch that uses cooperative binding to control gene expression. Science, 306(5694), 275-279.*

99. *Meyer, M. M., Roth, A., Chervin, S. M., Garcia, G. A., & Breaker, R. R. (2008). Confirmation of a second natural preQ1 aptamer class in Streptococcaceae bacteria. Rna, 14(4), 685-695.*

100. *Meier, F., Suter, B., Grosjean, H., Keith, G., & Kubli, E. (1985). Queuosine modification of the wobble base in tRNAHis influences 'in vivo'decoding properties. The EMBO journal, 4(3), 823-827.*

101. *Meier, F., Suter, B., Grosjean, H., Keith, G., & Kubli, E. (1985). Queuosine modification of the wobble base in tRNAHis influences 'in vivo'decoding properties. The EMBO journal, 4(3), 823-827.*

102. *Monot, M., Boursaux-Eude, C., Thibonnier, M., Vallenet, D., Moszer, I., Medigue, C., ... & Dupuy, B. (2011). Reannotation of the genome sequence of Clostridium difficile strain 630.*

103. *Muranaka, N., Abe, K., & Yokobayashi, Y. (2009). Mechanism□guided library design and dual genetic selection of synthetic OFF riboswitches. Chembiochem, 10(14), 2375-2381.*

104. *Mairal, T., Özalp, V. C., Sánchez, P. L., Mir, M., Katakis, I., & O'Sullivan, C. K. (2008). Aptamers: molecular tools for analytical applications. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 390(4), 989-1007.*

105. *Mellin, J. R., & Cossart, P. (2015). Unexpected versatility in bacterial riboswitches. Trends in Genetics, 31(3), 150-156.*

106. *Michener, J. K., & Smolke, C. D. (2012). High-throughput enzyme evolution in Saccharomyces cerevisiae using a synthetic RNA switch. Metabolic engineering, 14(4), 306-316.*

107. *Martini, L., & Mansy, S. S. (2011). Cell-like systems with riboswitch controlled gene expression. Chemical communications, 47(38), 10734-10736.*

108. *Miesel, L., Greene, J., & Black, T. A. (2003). Microbial genetics: Genetic strategies for antibacterial drug discovery. Nature Reviews Genetics, 4(6), 442.*

109. *McCown, P. J., Corbino, K. A., Stav, S., Sherlock, M. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2017). Riboswitch diversity and distribution. Rna, 23(7), 995-1011.*

110. *McCown, P. J., Corbino, K. A., Stav, S., Sherlock, M. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2017). Riboswitch diversity and distribution. Rna, 23(7), 995-1011.*

111. *Machtel, P., Bąkowska-Żywicka, K., & Żywicki, M. (2016). Emerging applications of riboswitches–from antibacterial targets to molecular tools. Journal of applied genetics, 57(4), 531-541.*

112. *Mukherjee, S., Retwitzer, M. D., Barash, D., & Sengupta, S. (2018). Phylogenomic and comparative analysis of the distribution and regulatory patterns of TPP riboswitches in fungi. Scientific reports, 8(1), 5563.*

113. *Nawrocki, E. P., & Eddy, S. R. (2013). Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. Bioinformatics, 29(22), 2933-2935.*

114. *Nahvi, A., Sudarsan, N., Ebert, M. S., Zou, X., Brown, K. L., & Breaker, R. R. (2002). Genetic control by a metabolite binding mRNA. Chemistry & biology, 9(9), 1043-1049.*

115. *Nelson, J. W., Sudarsan, N., Furukawa, K., Weinberg, Z., Wang, J. X., & Breaker, R. R. (2013). Riboswitches in eubacteria sense the second messenger c-di-AMP. Nature chemical biology, 9(12), 834.*

116. *Nomura, Y., & Yokobayashi, Y. (2007). Reengineering a natural riboswitch by dual genetic selection. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 129(45), 13814-13815.*

117. *Poiata, E., Meyer, M. M., Ames, T. D., & Breaker, R. R. (2009). A variant riboswitch aptamer class for S-adenosylmethionine common in marine bacteria. Rna, 15(11), 2046-2056.*

118. *Pornsuwan, S., Bird, G., Schafmeister, C. E., & Saxena, S. (2006). Flexibility and lengths of bis-peptide nanostructures by electron spin resonance. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 128(12), 3876-3877.*

119. *Ren, A., Rajashankar, K. R., & Patel, D. J. (2012). Fluoride ion encapsulation by Mg 2+ ions and phosphates in a fluoride riboswitch. Nature, 486(7401), 85*

120. *Roth, J. R., Lawrence, J. G., Rubenfield, M., Kieffer-Higgins, S., & Church, G. M. (1993). Characterization of the cobalamin (vitamin B12) biosynthetic genes of Salmonella typhimurium. Journal of bacteriology, 175(11), 3303-3316.*

121. *Rodionov, D. A., Vitreschak, A. G., Mironov, A. A., & Gelfand, M. S. (2003). Regulation of lysine biosynthesis and transport genes in bacteria: yet another RNA riboswitch?. Nucleic Acids Research, 31(23), 6748-6757.*

122. *Sondermann, H., Shikuma, N. J., & Yildiz, F. H. (2012). You've come a long way: c-di-GMP signaling. Current opinion in microbiology, 15(2), 140-146.*

123. *Smith, K. D., Lipchock, S. V., Ames, T. D., Wang, J., Breaker, R. R., & Strobel, S. A. (2009). Structural basis of ligand binding by a c-di-GMP riboswitch. Nature structural & molecular biology, 16(12), 1218.*

124. *Storz, G., Opdyke, J. A., & Zhang, A. (2004). Controlling mRNA stability and translation with small, noncoding RNAs. Current opinion in microbiology, 7(2), 140-144.*

125. *Sudarsan, N., Barrick, J. E., & BREAKER, R. R. (2003). Metabolite-binding RNA domains are present in the genes of eukaryotes. Rna, 9(6), 644-647.*

126. *Sudarsan, N., Lee, E. R., Weinberg, Z., Moy, R. H., Kim, J. N., Link, K. H., & Breaker, R. R. (2008). Riboswitches in eubacteria sense the second messenger cyclic di-GMP. Science, 321(5887), 411-413.*

127. *Smith, K. D., Lipchock, S. V., Ames, T. D., Wang, J., Breaker, R. R., & Strobel, S. A. (2009). Structural basis of ligand binding by a c-di-GMP riboswitch. Nature structural & molecular biology, 16(12), 1218.*

128. *Smith, K. D., Shanahan, C. A., Moore, E. L., Simon, A. C., & Strobel, S. A. (2011). Structural basis of differential ligand recognition by two classes of bis-(3'-5')-cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate-binding riboswitches. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(19), 7757-7762.*

129. *Sudarsan, N., Wickiser, J. K., Nakamura, S., Ebert, M. S., & Breaker, R. R. (2003). An mRNA structure in bacteria that controls gene expression by binding lysine. Genes & development, 17(21), 2688-2697.*

130. *Serganov, A., & Nudler, E. (2013). A decade of riboswitches. Cell, 152(1-2), 17-24.*

131. *Seeliger, J. C., Topp, S., Sogi, K. M., Previti, M. L., Gallivan, J. P., & Bertozzi, C. R. (2012). A riboswitch-based inducible gene expression system for mycobacteria. PloS one, 7(1), e29266.*

132. *Tucker, B. J., & Breaker, R. R. (2005). Riboswitches as versatile gene control elements. Current opinion in structural biology, 15(3), 342-348.*

133. *Trausch, J. J., & Batey, R. T. (2015). Design of modular "plug-and-play" expression platforms derived from natural riboswitches for engineering novel genetically encodable RNA regulatory devices. In Methods in enzymology (Vol. 550, pp. 41-71). Academic Press.*

134. *Tang, J., & Breaker, R. R. (1997). Rational design of allosteric ribozymes. Chemistry & biology, 4(6), 453-459.*

135. *Toniatti, C., Bujard, H., Cortese, R., & Ciliberto, G. (2004). Gene therapy progress and prospects: transcription regulatory systems. Gene therapy, 11(8), 649.*

136. *Topp, S., & Gallivan, J. P. (2007). Guiding bacteria with small molecules and RNA. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 129(21), 6807-6811.*

137. *Vitreschak, A. G., Rodionov, D. A., Mironov, A. A., & Gelfand, M. S. (2004). Riboswitches: the oldest mechanism for the regulation of gene expression?. TRENDS in Genetics, 20(1), 44-50.*

138. *VITRESCHAK, A. G., RODIONOV, D. A., MIRONOV, A. A., & GELFAND, M. S. (2003). Regulation of the vitamin B12 metabolism and transport in bacteria by a conserved RNA structural element. Rna, 9(9), 1084-1097.*

139. *Vinkenborg, J. L., Karnowski, N., & Famulok, M. (2011). Aptamers for allosteric regulation. Nature chemical biology, 7(8), 519.*

140. *Van Kessel, J. C., Marinelli, L. J., & Hatfull, G. F. (2008). Recombineering mycobacteria and their phages. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6(11), 851.*

141. *Winkler, W. C., & Breaker, R. R. (2003). Genetic control by metabolite□binding riboswitches. Chembiochem, 4(10), 1024-1032.*

142. *Winkler, W., Nahvi, A., & Breaker, R. R. (2002). Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression. Nature, 419(6910), 952.*

143. *Winkler, W. C., Cohen-Chalamish, S., & Breaker, R. R. (2002). An mRNA structure that controls gene expression by binding FMN. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(25), 15908-15913.*

144. *Weinberg, Z., Nelson, J. W., Lünse, C. E., Sherlock, M. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2017). Bioinformatic analysis of riboswitch structures uncovers variant classes with altered ligand specificity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(11), E2077-E2085.*

145. *Weinberg, Z., & Breaker, R. R. (2011). R2R-software to speed the depiction of aesthetic consensus RNA secondary structures. BMC bioinformatics, 12(1), 3.*

146. *Wachter, A., Tunc-Ozdemir, M., Grove, B. C., Green, P. J., Shintani, D. K., & Breaker, R. R. (2007). Riboswitch control of gene expression in plants by splicing and alternative 3′ end processing of mRNAs. The Plant Cell, 19(11), 3437-3450.*

147. *Winkler, W. C., Nahvi, A., Sudarsan, N., Barrick, J. E., & Breaker, R. R. (2003). An mRNA structure that controls gene expression by binding S-adenosylmethionine. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 10(9), 701.*

148. *Witte, G., Hartung, S., Büttner, K., & Hopfner, K. P. (2008). Structural biochemistry of a bacterial checkpoint protein reveals diadenylate cyclase activity regulated by DNA recombination intermediates. Molecular cell, 30(2), 167-178.*

149. *Woodward, J. J., Iavarone, A. T., & Portnoy, D. A. (2010). c-di-AMP secreted by intracellular Listeria monocytogenes activates a host type I interferon response. Science, 328(5986), 1703-1705.*

150. *Watson, P. Y., & Fedor, M. J. (2012). The ydaO motif is an ATP-sensing riboswitch in Bacillus subtilis. Nature chemical biology, 8(12), 963.*

151. *Weinberg, Z., Barrick, J. E., Yao, Z., Roth, A., Kim, J. N., Gore, J., ... & Neph, S. (2007). Identification of 22 candidate structured RNAs in bacteria using the CMfinder comparative genomics pipeline. Nucleic acids research, 35(14), 4809-4819.*

152. *Wang, W., Jiang, C., Zhang, J., Ye, W., Luo, R., & Chen, H. F. (2016). Dynamics Correlation Network for Allosteric Switching of PreQ 1 Riboswitch. Scientific reports, 6, 31005.*

153. *Winkler, W. C., Nahvi, A., Roth, A., Collins, J. A., & Breaker, R. R. (2004). Control of gene expression by a natural metabolite-responsive ribozyme. Nature, 428(6980), 281.*

154. *Wachsmuth, M., Findeiß, S., Weissheimer, N., Stadler, P. F., & Mörl, M. (2012). De novo design of a synthetic riboswitch that regulates transcription termination. Nucleic acids research, 41(4), 2541-2551.*

155. *Weigand, J. E., Sanchez, M., Gunnesch, E. B., Zeiher, S., Schroeder, R., & Suess, B. (2008). Screening for engineered neomycin riboswitches that control translation initiation. Rna, 14(1), 89-97.*

156. *Wieland, M., & Hartig, J. S. (2008). Artificial riboswitches: synthetic mRNA□based regulators of gene expression. ChemBioChem, 9(12), 1873-1878.*

157. *Winkler, W., Nahvi, A., & Breaker, R. R. (2002). Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression. Nature, 419(6910), 952.*

158. *Wong, H. H., Lemoine, N. R., & Wang, Y. (2010). Oncolytic Viruses for Cancer Therapy: Overcoming the Obstacles. Viruses 2, 78–106.*

159. *Weinberg, Z., Barrick, J. E., Yao, Z., Roth, A., Kim, J. N., Gore, J., ... & Neph, S. (2007). Identification of 22 candidate structured RNAs in bacteria using the CMfinder comparative genomics pipeline. Nucleic acids research, 35(14), 4809-4819.*

160. *Weinberg, Z., Wang, J. X., Bogue, J., Yang, J., Corbino, K., Moy, R. H., & Breaker, R. R. (2010). Comparative genomics reveals 104 candidate structured RNAs from bacteria, archaea, and their metagenomes. Genome biology, 11(3), R31.*

161. *Yan, L. H., Le Roux, A., Boyapelly, K., Lamontagne, A. M., Archambault, M. A., Picard-Jean, F, & Lafontaine, D. (2018). Purine analogs targeting the guanine riboswitch as potential antibiotics against Clostridioides difficile. European journal of medicinal chemistry, 143, 755-768.*

162. *Yanick, P. (2007). Activating the longevity gene to restore insulin sensitivity and immune reciprocity in patients with metabolic syndrome. Townsend Letter: The Examiner of Alternative Medicine, (286), 146-152.*

163. *Yang, J., Seo, S. W., Jang, S., Shin, S. I., Lim, C. H., Roh, T. Y., & Jung, G. Y. (2013). Synthetic RNA devices to expedite the evolution of metabolite-producing microbes. Nature communications, 4, 1413.*